On distribution of fractional parts of linear forms

I. Rochev

arXiv:0811.1547v1 [math.NT] 10 Nov 2008

1 Introduction

In 1924, Khintchine proved (published in 1926, see [1, Hilfssatz III]) that, given an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, satisfying

$$\frac{q_{n+t}}{q_n} \geqslant 2 \qquad (n = 1, 2, \ldots)$$

for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a real number α such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|q_n \alpha\| > \gamma,$$

where $\gamma > 0$ depends only on t. Here $\|x\|$ denotes the distance from a real number x to the nearest integer, $\|x\| = \min\limits_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |x - n|$.

Khintchine does not compute γ but from his proof it is clear that one can take

$$\gamma = \frac{c}{\bigl(t \ln(t+1)\bigr)^2}$$

with some absolute constant $c > 0$.

The further history of the problem can be found, for instance, in [3],[4]. Here we just mention the work [2], where a special variant of the Lovász local lemma (see Lemma 1 below) is used to prove that one can take

$$\gamma = \frac{c}{t \ln(t+1)},$$

where $c > 0$ is some absolute constant.

Similar results can be proved about the distribution of fractional parts of linear forms. Thus, in [5, Chapter V, Lemma 2] the following statement is demonstrated.

Let $\vec{u}_r = (u_{r1}, \ldots, u_{rn})$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, *be a sequence of integer vectors,* $\vec{u}_r \neq \vec{0}$. *Assume that their (Euclidean) norms*

$$\rho_r = \left(u_{r1}^2 + \ldots + u_{rn}^2\right)^{1/2}$$

satisfy

$$\rho_{r+1} \geqslant k\rho_r \qquad (r = 1, 2, \ldots)$$

for some $k > 2$. *Then there exists a vector* $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, *such that for all* $r \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|\vec{u}_r \cdot \vec{\alpha}\| = \|u_{r1}\alpha_1 + \ldots + u_{rn}\alpha_n\| \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{k-1}\right).$$

In the present paper we use arguments from [2], as well as from [3], to obtain generalizations of the above-mentioned result of Peres–Schlag and some results of the work [3],[4] in the case of linear forms. Section 2 contains some auxiliary results. In Section 3 we introduce some notation and prove some technical assertions, expounding the ideas of methods of Peres–Schlag and Moshchevitin. Finally, in Section 4 we apply these results to certain examples.

2 Auxiliary assertions

Lemma 1. *Let* $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^N$ *be events in a probabilistic space* $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$, *and let* $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^N$ *be a collection of numbers from* $[0; 1]$. *Denote* $B_0 = \Omega$, $B_n = \bigcap\limits_{m=1}^{n} A_m^c$ $(1 \leqslant n \leqslant N)$, *where* $A_m^c = \Omega \setminus A_m$. *Suppose that for every* $n \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ *there exists* $m = m(n) \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ *such that*

$$\mathbf{P}(A_n \cap B_m) \leqslant x_n \prod_{m<k<n} (1 - x_k) \cdot \mathbf{P}(B_m) \tag{1}$$

(if $m = n-1$, then $\prod\limits_{m<k<n}(1-x_k)=1$). Then for every $1 \leqslant n \leqslant N$,

$$\mathbf{P}(B_n) \geqslant (1-x_n)\mathbf{P}(B_{n-1}). \tag{2}$$

Proof. We use induction on n.

Base of induction. One has

$$\mathbf{P}(B_1) = 1 - \mathbf{P}(A_1) \geqslant 1 - x_1 = (1-x_1)\mathbf{P}(B_0).$$

Inductive step. Assume that (2) is verified for $1 \leqslant n < n_0$. Using it inductively for $n = n_0-1, n_0-2, \ldots, m+1$ (where $m = m(n_0)$), one gets

$$\prod_{m<k<n_0}(1-x_k)\cdot\mathbf{P}(B_m) \leqslant \mathbf{P}(B_{n_0-1}).$$

In view of (1), one has

$$\mathbf{P}(A_{n_0}\cap B_{n_0-1}) \leqslant \mathbf{P}(A_{n_0}\cap B_m) \leqslant x_{n_0}\mathbf{P}(B_{n_0-1}),$$

hence

$$\mathbf{P}(B_{n_0}) = \mathbf{P}(B_{n_0-1}) - \mathbf{P}(A_{n_0}\cap B_{n_0-1}) \geqslant (1-x_{n_0})\mathbf{P}(B_{n_0-1}).$$

Thus, (2) holds for $n = n_0$. ☐

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\vec{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Consider

$$E = E(d, \vec{a}, b, \varepsilon) = \{\vec{\theta} \in [0;1]^d : \|\vec{a}\cdot\vec{\theta} + b\| \leqslant \varepsilon\},$$

$V = V(d, \vec{a}, b, \varepsilon) = \mu E$, where μ is the d-dimensional Lebesgue measure. For $p \in [1;\infty]$, set

$$R = |\vec{a}|_p = \begin{cases} \left(\sum\limits_{n=1}^{d}|a_n|^p\right)^{1/p}, & p \in [1;\infty); \\ \max\limits_{1\leqslant n\leqslant d}|a_n|, & p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2. *If $R > 0$ then $V \leqslant 2\varepsilon\left(1 + \dfrac{d^{1/p}}{R}\right)$, where $d^{1/p} = 1$ for $p = \infty$.*

Proof. If $\varepsilon > 1/2$ then the statement is trivial. Assume that $\varepsilon \leqslant 1/2$. Consider the cases $d = 1$ and $d > 1$ separately.

$d = 1$. It is easy to see that for any segment $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ of length $1/R$,

$$\mu\{\theta \in I : \|a\theta + b\| \leqslant \varepsilon\} = 2\varepsilon/R.$$

Since the segment $[0;1]$ can be covered by $\lceil R\rceil$ segments of length $1/R$, then

$$V \leqslant 2\varepsilon/R\cdot\lceil R\rceil < 2\varepsilon(1 + 1/R).$$

$d > 1$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $|a_1| = \max\limits_{1\leqslant n\leqslant d}|a_n|$, hence, $|a_1| \geqslant R/d^{1/p}$. Using Fubini's theorem we get

$$V = \int\limits_{[0;1]^d}\chi_E(\vec{\theta})\,d\mu = \int\limits_{[0;1]^{d-1}}\int\limits_0^1\chi_E(\vec{\theta})\,d\theta_1\,d\mu',$$

where χ_E is the characteristic function of E, μ' is the $(d-1)$-dimensional Lebesgue measure on variables $\theta_2, \ldots, \theta_d$. Using the considered case one gets

$$\int\limits_0^1\chi_E(\vec{\theta})\,d\theta_1 = V\left(1, a_1, \sum_{n=2}^{d}a_n\theta_n + b, \varepsilon\right) \leqslant 2\varepsilon\left(1 + \frac{1}{|a_1|}\right) \leqslant 2\varepsilon\left(1 + \frac{d^{1/p}}{R}\right),$$

and the statement follows immediately . ☐

Corollary 1. *Let $I = [v_1; v_1 + r]\times\ldots\times[v_d; v_d + r] \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be any cube with side $r > 0$. Then*

$$\frac{\mu\{\vec{\theta} \in I : \|\vec{a}\cdot\vec{\theta} + b\| \leqslant \varepsilon\}}{\mu(I)} \leqslant 2\varepsilon\left(1 + \frac{d^{1/p}}{Rr}\right).$$

Proof. The statement follows from Lemma 2 if one uses the linear change of coordinates $\vec{\theta} = \vec{v} + r\vec{\vartheta}$, $\vec{\vartheta} \in [0;1]^d$. ☐

3 General results

Given $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and sequences $\vec{a}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$, denote

$$L_n(\vec{\theta}) = L_n(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) = \vec{a}_n \cdot \vec{\theta} + b_n.$$

Fix $p \in [1;\infty]$. Assume that $R_n = |\vec{a}_n|_p$ satisfy

$$0 < R_1 \leqslant R_2 \leqslant \dots$$

We keep this notation for the rest of the paper.

Suppose we also have a non-increasing sequence of positive numbers $\delta_1 \geqslant \delta_2 \geqslant \ldots > 0$. Consider the sets

$$\mathfrak{G}_1 = \{\vec{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \|L_n(\vec{\theta})\| \geqslant \delta_n\};$$

$$\mathfrak{G}_2 = \left\{\vec{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\|L_n(\vec{\theta})\|}{\delta_n} \geqslant 1\right\}.$$

Proposition 1. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $x_n \in (0;1)$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$. Suppose that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $m = m(n) \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that the following conditions hold:*

1. *If $m > 0$, then $R_n/R_m \geqslant 2^{2\lambda+1} d/\delta_m$;*
2. $2(1 + 2^{-\lambda})^2 \delta_n \leqslant x_n \prod\limits_{m<k<n} (1 - x_k)$.

Then the set $\mathfrak{G}_1$ is non-empty. Moreover, if $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} R_n = \infty$, then the set $\mathfrak{G}_2$ is everywhere dense.

Proof. First assume that $R_1 \geqslant 2^{|\lambda|} d^{1/p}$. Let us prove that $\mathfrak{G}_1 \cap [0;1]^d \neq \varnothing$.

Introduce some notation. Let $q \in [1;\infty]$ be the Hölder's conjugate of p (i. e., $1/p + 1/q = 1$). Put $l_0 = 0$ and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$l_n = \left\lceil \log_2 \frac{d^{1/q} R_n}{\delta_n} + \lambda \right\rceil.$$

Notice that the sequence l_n is non-decreasing.

Further, for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\vec{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_d) \in \mathcal{C}_n = \{0, 1, \dots, 2^{l_n} - 1\}^d$ put

$$I_n(\vec{c}) = \left[\frac{c_1}{2^{l_n}}; \frac{c_1+1}{2^{l_n}}\right\rangle \times \ldots \times \left[\frac{c_d}{2^{l_n}}; \frac{c_d+1}{2^{l_n}}\right\rangle,$$

where the notation

$$\left[\frac{c}{2^l}; \frac{c+1}{2^l}\right\rangle = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{c}{2^l}; \frac{c+1}{2^l}\right), & c < 2^l - 1; \\ \left[\frac{c}{2^l}; \frac{c+1}{2^l}\right], & c = 2^l - 1, \end{cases}$$

is used. Notice that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the cubes $I_n(\vec{c})$ $(\vec{c} \in \mathcal{C}_n)$ are pairwise disjoint, and for any integers $n \geqslant m \geqslant 0$ every cube of the form $I_m(\vec{c})$ can be represented as a union of cubes of the form $I_n(\vec{d})$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ consider

$$E_n = \{\vec{\theta} \in [0;1]^d : \|L_n(\vec{\theta})\| < \delta_n\}; \tag{3}$$

$$A_n = \bigsqcup_{\vec{c} \in \mathfrak{C}_n} I_n(\vec{c}),$$

where $\mathfrak{C}_n$ is the set of those vectors $\vec{c} \in \mathcal{C}_n$, for which $I_n(\vec{c}) \cap E_n \neq \varnothing$. Then $E_n \subset A_n$.

Let $\vec{\theta} \in A_n$. Then there is $\vec{c} \in \mathfrak{C}_n$ such that $\vec{\theta} \in I_n(\vec{c})$, and there is $\vec{\xi} \in I_n(\vec{c}) \cap E_n$. Therefore,

$$\|L_n(\vec{\theta})\| = \|L_n(\vec{\xi}) + \vec{a}_n \cdot (\vec{\theta} - \vec{\xi})\| \leqslant \|L_n(\vec{\xi})\| + |\vec{a}_n \cdot (\vec{\theta} - \vec{\xi})| <$$

$$< \delta_n + |\vec{a}_n|_p \cdot |\vec{\theta} - \vec{\xi}|_q \leqslant \delta_n + R_n d^{1/q} 2^{-l_n} \leqslant (1 + 2^{-\lambda})\delta_n.$$

Thus, all vectors $\vec{\theta} \in A_n$ satisfy $\|L_n(\vec{\theta})\| < (1 + 2^{-\lambda})\delta_n$.

Define B_n, as in Lemma 1, assuming $\Omega = [0;1]^d$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m = m(n)$. We check that (1) holds (with $\mathbf{P} = \mu$). The set B_m can be represented in the form $B_m = \bigsqcup\limits_{\vec{c} \in \mathfrak{D}_m} I_m(\vec{c})$, where $\mathfrak{D}_m$ is a subset of $\mathcal{C}_m$ (possibly, empty). Then

$$A_n \cap B_m = \bigsqcup_{\vec{c} \in \mathfrak{D}_m} (A_n \cap I_m(\vec{c})).$$

Since (for any $\vec{c} \in \mathcal{C}_m$)

$$A_n \cap I_m(\vec{c}) \subset \{\vec{\theta} \in I_m(\vec{c}) : \|L_n(\vec{\theta})\| \leqslant (1 + 2^{-\lambda})\delta_n\},$$

it follows from Corollary 1 of Lemma 2 that

$$\frac{\mu(A_n \cap I_m(\vec{c}))}{\mu(I_m(\vec{c}))} \leqslant 2(1 + 2^{-\lambda})\delta_n \left(1 + \frac{d^{1/p}}{R_n 2^{-l_m}}\right).$$

If $m = 0$ then $\frac{d^{1/p}}{R_n 2^{-l_m}} \leqslant d^{1/p}/R_1 \leqslant 2^{-\lambda}$, because we assume that $R_1 \geqslant 2^{|\lambda|} d^{1/p}$.
If $m > 0$ then

$$\frac{d^{1/p}}{R_n 2^{-l_m}} < 2^{\lambda+1} \frac{d^{1/p+1/q} R_m}{R_n \delta_m} = \frac{2^{\lambda+1} d R_m}{\delta_m R_n} \leqslant 2^{-\lambda}$$

in view of Condition 1 of the proposition.

In any case

$$\frac{\mu(A_n \cap I_m(\vec{c}))}{\mu(I_m(\vec{c}))} \leqslant 2(1 + 2^{-\lambda})^2 \delta_n \leqslant x_n \prod_{m<k<n} (1 - x_k),$$

consequently,

$$\mu(A_n \cap B_m) \leqslant x_n \prod_{m<k<n} (1 - x_k) \cdot \sum_{\vec{c} \in \mathfrak{D}_m} \mu(I_m(\vec{c})) = x_n \prod_{m<k<n} (1 - x_k) \cdot \mu(B_m).$$

Thus, the inequality (1) holds. Hence, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ one has $\mu(B_n) \geqslant \prod\limits_{m=1}^{n} (1 - x_m) > 0$; in particular, $B_n \neq \varnothing$. Denote

$$F_n = \bigcap_{m=1}^{n} E_m^c, \tag{4}$$

where E_n are given by (3). Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the relation $F_n \supset B_n$ holds, hence $F_n \neq \varnothing$. Since all E_n^c are compact, it follows that $\mathfrak{G}_1 \cap [0;1]^d = \bigcap\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n \neq \varnothing$.

If $R_1 < 2^{|\lambda|} d^{1/p}$ then make the linear change of variables $\vec{\theta} = \frac{2^{|\lambda|} d^{1/p}}{R_1} \cdot \vec{\vartheta}$. Using the proved one gets $\mathfrak{G}_1 \neq \varnothing$.

Now we prove the second statement of the proposition. Let $I = [v_1; v_1 + r] \times \ldots \times [v_d; v_d + r] \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be any cube with side $r > 0$. Make the linear change of variables $\vec{\theta} = \vec{v} + r\vec{\vartheta}$, $\vec{\vartheta} \in [0;1]^d$.

Since $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} R_n = \infty$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $rR_{n_0} \geqslant 2^{|\lambda|} d^{1/p}$. Consider $\widetilde{L}_n(\vec{\theta}) = L_{n_0-1+n}(r\vec{\theta} + \vec{v})$ instead of $L_n(\vec{\theta})$, $\widetilde{\delta}_n = \delta_{n_0-1+n}$ instead of δ_n, $\widetilde{x}_n = x_{n_0-1+n}$ in place of x_n, $\widetilde{m}(n) = \max\{m(n_0 - 1 + n) - n_0 + 1; 0\}$ instead of $m(n)$. One deduces from what was proved that

$$\{\vec{\theta} \in I : \forall n \geqslant n_0 \quad \|L_n(\vec{\theta})\| \geqslant \delta_n\} \neq \varnothing.$$

The second assertion of the proposition follows immediately. ☐

Proposition 2. *Let* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\eta_\nu \in (0;1)$ ($\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$)*. Let* $\{n_\nu\}_{\nu\in\mathbb{N}}$ *be an increasing sequence of positive integers. Denote*

$$\sigma_\nu = \begin{cases} 2(1 + 2^{-\lambda}) \sum_{0<n\leqslant n_1} \delta_n, & \nu = 0; \\ 2(1 + 2^{-\lambda})^2 \sum_{n_\nu<n\leqslant n_{\nu+1}} \delta_n, & \nu \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that the following is true:

1. *For* $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\frac{R_{n_{\nu+1}+1}}{R_{n_\nu}} \geqslant \frac{2^{2\lambda+1} d}{\delta_{n_\nu}}.$$

2.

$$\sigma_0 < \eta_0.$$

3. For $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sigma_\nu \leqslant \eta_\nu(1-\eta_{\nu-1}).$$

4. There are infinitely many $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that*

$$\left(1-\eta_\nu-\frac{\sigma_{\nu+1}}{\eta_{\nu+1}}\right)2^{d\lfloor \log_2 Q_\nu \rfloor} \geqslant 1,$$

where

$$Q_\nu = \frac{R_{n_{\nu+1}}\delta_{n_\nu}}{R_{n_\nu}\delta_{n_{\nu+1}}}.$$

Then the set $\mathfrak{G}_1$ *is of cardinality continuum. In addition, the set* $\mathfrak{G}_2$ *is everywhere dense (moreover, for any non-empty open set* $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ *the intersection* $\mathfrak{G}_2 \cap \Omega$ *is of cardinality continuum).*

Proof. Take $R_0 \geqslant 2^{|\lambda|}d^{1/p}$ such that

$$(1+d^{1/p}/R_0)\sigma_0 < \eta_0.$$

Note that for $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(1+d^{1/p}/R_0)\frac{\sigma_\nu}{1+2^{-\lambda}} \leqslant \sigma_\nu < \eta_\nu.$$

Let's prove that if $R_1 \geqslant R_0$ then the set $\mathfrak{G}_1 \cap [0;1]^d$ is of cardinality continuum.

We preserve all the notation from the proof of Proposition 1. In addition, set $n_0 = 0$.

For $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we define a *ν-cube* as a cube of the form $I_{n_\nu}(\vec{c})$, $\vec{c} \in \mathcal{C}_{n_\nu}$. We shall call a ν-cube I *good* if

$$\mu(B_{n_{\nu+1}} \cap I) > (1-\eta_\nu)\mu(I).$$

Let $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, $n_{\nu+1} < n \leqslant n_{\nu+2}$. Then

$$\frac{R_n}{R_{n_\nu}} \geqslant \frac{R_{n_{\nu+1}+1}}{R_{n_\nu}} \geqslant \frac{2^{2\lambda+1}d}{\delta_{n_\nu}},$$

and the arguments, similar to those used in the proof of Proposition 1, give us that for any ν-cube I,

$$\frac{\mu(A_n \cap I)}{\mu(I)} \leqslant 2(1+2^{-\lambda})^2\delta_n.$$

Moreover, for $n \leqslant n_2$,

$$\mu(A_n) \leqslant 2(1+2^{-\lambda})\delta_n(1+d^{1/p}/R_0) \leqslant 2(1+2^{-\lambda})^2\delta_n.$$

Therefore,

$$\mu(B_{n_1}) \geqslant 1-\sum_{n=1}^{n_1}\mu(A_n) \geqslant 1-(1+d^{1/p}/R_0)\sigma_0 > 1-\eta_0,$$

i. e., $[0;1]^d$ is a good 0-cube.

Suppose that $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ and I is a good $(\nu-1)$-cube. For $n_\nu < n \leqslant n_{n_{\nu+1}}$,

$$\mu(A_n \cap I) \leqslant 2(1+2^{-\lambda})^2\delta_n\mu(I) < \frac{2(1+2^{-\lambda})^2\delta_n}{1-\eta_{\nu-1}}\mu(B_{n_\nu} \cap I),$$

hence

$$\mu(B_{n_{\nu+1}} \cap I) \geqslant \mu(B_{n_\nu} \cap I) - \sum_{n_\nu < n \leqslant n_{\nu+1}} \mu(A_n \cap I) > \left(1-\frac{\sigma_\nu}{1-\eta_{\nu-1}}\right)\mu(B_{n_\nu} \cap I).$$

Write $B_{n_\nu} \cap I$ in the form

$$B_{n_\nu} \cap I = \bigsqcup_{n=1}^{a} J_n,$$

where J_n are ν-cubes. Then

$$a = \frac{\mu(B_{n_\nu} \cap I)}{2^{-dl_{n_\nu}}} > (1-\eta_{\nu-1})2^{d(l_{n_\nu}-l_{n_{\nu-1}})}.$$

Let g denote the number of good J_n. Then

$$\left(1-\frac{\sigma_\nu}{1-\eta_{\nu-1}}\right)a2^{-dl_{n_\nu}} = \left(1-\frac{\sigma_\nu}{1-\eta_{\nu-1}}\right)\mu(B_{n_\nu} \cap I) < \mu(B_{n_{\nu+1}} \cap I) =$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{a} \mu(B_{n_{\nu+1}} \cap J_n) \leqslant g 2^{-dl_{n_\nu}} + (a-g)(1-\eta_\nu)2^{-dl_{n_\nu}},$$

consequently,

$$g > \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_\nu}{\eta_\nu(1-\eta_{\nu-1})}\right) a,$$

in particular, $g > 0$. Hence, for every $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$ any good ν-cube contains a good $(\nu+1)$-cube.

Further, if $\nu > 1$, then

$$l_{n_\nu} - l_{n_{\nu-1}} > \log_2 \frac{d^{1/q} R_{n_\nu}}{\delta_{n_\nu}} + \lambda - \left(\log_2 \frac{d^{1/q} R_{n_{\nu-1}}}{\delta_{n_{\nu-1}}} + \lambda + 1\right) = \log_2 Q_{\nu-1} - 1,$$

therefore,

$$g > \left(1 - \eta_{\nu-1} - \frac{\sigma_\nu}{\eta_\nu}\right) 2^{d(l_{n_\nu} - l_{n_{\nu-1}})} \geqslant \left(1 - \eta_{\nu-1} - \frac{\sigma_\nu}{\eta_\nu}\right) 2^{d\lfloor \log_2 Q_{\nu-1} \rfloor}.$$

It follows now from Condition 4 of the proposition that there are infinitely many $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every good ν-cube contains at least two good $(\nu+1)$-cubes. Thus, if we denote by G_ν the union of closures of all good ν-cubes, then the set $G = \bigcap\limits_{\nu=0}^{\infty} G_\nu$ is of cardinality continuum. Notice that

$$G_\nu \subset \overline{B_{n_\nu}} \subset \overline{F_{n_\nu}} = F_{n_\nu}$$

($\overline{A}$ denotes the closure of a set A, F_n are given by (4)), therefore

$$G \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = \mathfrak{G}_1 \cap [0;1]^d,$$

hence in the case $R_1 \geqslant R_0$ the first statement of the proposition is proved.

The rest of the proof is analogous to the end of the proof of Proposition 1. For that one should notice, that for $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\delta_{n_{\nu+1}} \leqslant \frac{\sigma_\nu}{2(1+2^{-\lambda})^2} < \frac{1}{2(1+2^{-\lambda})^2},$$

hence

$$\frac{R_{n_{\nu+2}+1}}{R_{n_{\nu+1}}} \geqslant \frac{2^{2\lambda+1} d}{\delta_{n_{\nu+1}}} > 4(2^\lambda + 1)^2 d > 4,$$

thus $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} R_n = \infty$. ☐

4 Examples

Theorem 1. *Suppose that there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $\quad R_{n+N}/R_n \geqslant 2$. Denote*

$$\delta = \frac{1}{2eN\Big(\log_2(Nd) + 4\log_2\big(\log_2(Nd) + 30\big)\Big)}.$$

Then the set

$$\{\vec{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \|L_n(\vec{\theta})\| \geqslant \delta\}$$

is non-empty. Moreover, the set

$$\{\vec{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \liminf_{n\to\infty} \|L_n(\vec{\theta})\| \geqslant \delta\}$$

is everywhere dense.

Proof. Denote

$$u = \log_2(Nd) + 30;$$

$$t = \log_2(Nd) + 4\log_2 u;$$

$$\lambda = \log_2(t\ln 2);$$

$$h = \lceil \log_2 (2^{2\lambda+1} d/\delta) \rceil;$$

$$x = \frac{1}{Nh}.$$

Apply Proposition 1. Take $x_n = x$, $\delta_n = \delta$, $m(n) = \max\{0; n - Nh\}$. Then Condition 1 of Proposition 1 holds. Since

$$\prod_{m<k<n} (1 - x_k) \geqslant (1 - 1/(Nh))^{Nh-1} > \frac{1}{e},$$

it is enough to verify that

$$2(1 + 2^{-\lambda})^2 \cdot \delta \leqslant x/e,$$

i. e.,

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{t \ln 2}\right)^2 h \leqslant t.$$

It is sufficient to prove that $h \leqslant t - 2.9$. One has

$$h < \log_2 \frac{2^{2\lambda+2} d}{\delta} = t - 4\log_2 u + 3\log_2 t + \log_2(8e \ln^2 2) <$$

$$< t - 4\log_2 u + 3\log_2(u - 30 + 4\log_2 u) + 3.4 < t - 2.9.$$

Now the theorem follows from Proposition 1. $\square$

Theorem 2. *Suppose that there is such* $N \in \mathbb{N}$ *that for any* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $\quad R_{n+N}/R_n \geqslant 2$. *Denote*

$$\delta = \frac{1}{8N\Big(\log_2(Nd) + 4\log_2\big(\log_2(Nd) + 36\big)\Big)}.$$

Then the set

$$\{\vec{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|L_n(\vec{\theta})\| \geqslant \delta\}$$

is of cardinality continuum.

Proof. Denote

$$u = \log_2(Nd) + 36;$$

$$t = \log_2(Nd) + 4\log_2 u;$$

$$\lambda = \log_2(t \ln 2);$$

$$h = \lceil \log_2 (2^{2\lambda+1} d/\delta) \rceil;$$

$$\eta = \frac{1 + 2^{-\lambda}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{h}{t}}.$$

One has

$$h < \log_2 \frac{2^{2\lambda+2} d}{\delta} = t - 4\log_2 u + 3\log_2 t + \log_2(32 \ln^2 2) <$$

$$< t - 4\log_2 u + 3\log_2(u - 36 + 4\log_2 u) + 3.95 < t - 2.94;$$

$$2\eta < \left(1 + \frac{1}{t \ln 2}\right) \sqrt{1 - \frac{2.94}{t}} < (1 + 1.45/t)(1 - 1.47/t) < 1 - 0.02/t.$$

Apply Proposition 2. Take $n_\nu = Nh\nu$, $\delta_n = \delta$, $\eta_\nu = \eta$. Then

$$\sigma_0 = \frac{\eta^2}{1 + 2^{-\lambda}};$$

$$\sigma_\nu = \eta^2.$$

It is clear that Conditions 1-3 of Proposition 2 hold. Since for $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ $\quad Q_\nu \geqslant 2^h$, then

$$2^{d \lfloor \log_2 Q_\nu \rfloor} \geqslant 2^h \geqslant \frac{2^{2\lambda+1} d}{\delta} = 16 \ln^2 2 \cdot N d t^3 > 100t.$$

Thus it is not difficult to see that Condition 4 is also valid.

Proposition 2 now implies the theorem. $\square$

Theorem 3. *Let* $f, h\colon [1;\infty) \to (0;\infty)$ *be non-decreasing functions,* $h(x) \geqslant x$*. Assume that*

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = \infty; \tag{5}$$

$$\sup_{x\geqslant 1} \int\limits_{x}^{h(x)} \frac{du}{f(u)} < \infty;$$

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{R_{\lfloor h(n)\rfloor}}{nf(n)R_n} > 0. \tag{6}$$

Then the set

$$\{\vec{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \big(\|L_n(\vec{\theta})\| \cdot f(n)\big) > 0\}$$

is of cardinality continuum. In addition, the set

$$\{\vec{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \liminf_{n\to\infty} (\|L_n(\vec{\theta})\| \cdot f(n)) > 0\}$$

is everywhere dense.

Proof. Apply Proposition 2. Take $\lambda = 0$, $\eta_\nu = 1/2$. Take $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough and define $n_{\nu+1} = \lfloor h(n_\nu)\rfloor$, $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote

$$C = \sup_{x\geqslant 1} \int\limits_{x}^{h(x)} \frac{du}{f(u)};$$

$$A = A(n_1) = \max\{40Cf(n_1)/n_1; 9\}.$$

Note that (5) implies

$$A(n_1) = o(f(n_1)) \quad \text{as } n_1 \to \infty. \tag{7}$$

Put

$$\delta_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{An_1}, & n \leqslant n_1; \\ \frac{f(n_1)}{An_1 f(n)}, & n > n_1. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\sigma_0 = \frac{4}{A} < \frac{1}{2};$$

$$\sigma_\nu = \frac{8f(n_1)}{An_1} \sum_{n_\nu < n \leqslant n_{\nu+1}} \frac{1}{f(n)} \leqslant \frac{8f(n_1)}{An_1} \int\limits_{n_\nu}^{n_{\nu+1}} \frac{du}{f(u)} \leqslant \frac{8Cf(n_1)}{An_1} \leqslant \frac{1}{5} \quad (\nu \in \mathbb{N}).$$

By (6) there is a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that for all sufficiently large n,

$$\frac{R_{\lfloor h(n)\rfloor}}{R_n} \geqslant \gamma n f(n).$$

Hence, if n_1 is sufficiently large then, in view of (7), one deduces that for $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\frac{R_{n_{\nu+1}+1}}{R_{n_\nu}} \geqslant \gamma n_\nu f(n_\nu) \geqslant \frac{2Ad}{f(n_1)} n_1 f(n_\nu) = \frac{2d}{\delta_{n_\nu}}.$$

As long as

$$Q_\nu \geqslant \frac{R_{n_{\nu+1}}}{R_{n_\nu}} \to \infty, \quad \nu \to \infty,$$

all conditions of Proposition 2 hold. □

Corollary 1. *Suppose that*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \left(\frac{R_{n+1}}{R_n} - 1\right) n^\beta > 0,$$

where $\beta \in (0;1)$*. Then the set*

$$\{\vec{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \Big(\|L_n(\vec{\theta})\| \cdot n^\beta \ln(n+1)\Big) > 0\}$$

is of cardinality continuum. In addition, the set

$$\{\vec{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \liminf_{n\to\infty} \Big(\|L_n(\vec{\theta})\| \cdot n^\beta \ln n\Big) > 0\}$$

is everywhere dense.

Proof. Let

$$\gamma = \min\left\{1; \liminf_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{R_{n+1}}{R_n}-1\right)n^{\beta}\right\}.$$

Take $f(x) = x^{\beta}\ln(x+1)$ and $h(x) = x + cx^{\beta}\ln(x+1)$, $c = 2/\gamma$. Then

$$\int\limits_{x}^{h(x)} \frac{du}{f(u)} \leqslant \frac{h(x)-x}{f(x)} = O(1);$$

$$\ln\frac{R_{\lfloor h(n)\rfloor}}{R_n} \geqslant \sum_{k=n}^{\lfloor h(n)\rfloor-1} \ln\left(1+\frac{\gamma+o(1)}{k^{\beta}}\right) = \frac{\gamma+o(1)}{n^{\beta}}\cdot(h(n)-n+O(1)) = (2+o(1))\ln n, \quad n\to\infty,$$

hence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{R_{\lceil h(n)\rceil}}{nf(n)R_n} = \infty.$$

It remains to apply Theorem 3 . □

Corollary 2. *Assume that*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{R_{n+1}}{R_n}-1\right)n > 0.$$

Then the set

$$\{\vec{\theta}\in\mathbb{R}^d : \inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\big(\|L_n(\vec{\theta})\|\cdot n\ln(n+1)\big) > 0\}$$

is of cardinality continuum. In addition, the set

$$\{\vec{\theta}\in\mathbb{R}^d : \varliminf_{n\to\infty}\big(\|L_n(\vec{\theta})\|\cdot n\ln n\big) > 0\}$$

is everywhere dense.

Proof. The proof is similar. Take $f(x) = x\ln(x+1)$ and $h(x) = x^{C}$, $C = 3/\gamma+1$, where

$$\gamma = \min\left\{1; \liminf_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{R_{n+1}}{R_n}-1\right)n\right\}.$$

Then

$$\int\limits_{x}^{h(x)} \frac{du}{f(u)} = O(1);$$

$$\ln\frac{R_{\lfloor h(n)\rfloor}}{R_n} \geqslant \sum_{k=n}^{\lfloor h(n)\rfloor-1} \frac{\gamma+o(1)}{k} = (1+o(1))\gamma(C-1)\ln n = (3+o(1))\ln n, \quad n\to\infty.$$

□

Corollary 3. *Assume that*

$$\ln R_n = \gamma n^{\beta} + O(n^{\beta_1}) \quad \textit{as} \ \ n\to\infty,$$

where $\gamma > 0$, $0 \leqslant \beta_1 < \beta \leqslant 1$ *are some constants. Define*

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \beta_1 > 0; \\ \ln(x+1), & \beta_1 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then the set

$$\{\vec{\theta}\in\mathbb{R}^d : \inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\left(\|L_n(\vec{\theta})\|\cdot n^{1-\beta+\beta_1}\alpha(n)\right) > 0\}$$

is of cardinality continuum. Moreover, the set

$$\{\vec{\theta}\in\mathbb{R}^d : \varliminf_{n\to\infty}\left(\|L_n(\vec{\theta})\|\cdot n^{1-\beta+\beta_1}\alpha(n)\right) > 0\}$$

is everywhere dense.

Proof. Let for $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|\ln R_n - \gamma n^\beta| \leqslant A n^{\beta_1}.$$

Take $f(x) = x^{1-\beta+\beta_1}\alpha(x)$ and $h(x) = x + (C+1)f(x)$, $C = \frac{2}{\beta\gamma}(3A+2)$. Then for all sufficiently large n,

$$\ln \frac{R_{\lfloor h(n) \rfloor}}{R_n} > \gamma n^\beta \left((1 + Cf(n)/n)^\beta - 1 \right) - 3An^{\beta_1} > \left(\frac{\beta\gamma C}{2}\alpha(n) - 3A \right) n^{\beta_1} \geqslant 2n^{\beta_1}\alpha(n) > 2\ln n.$$

$\square$

References

[1] A. Ya. Khintchine, *Über eine Klasse linearer Diophantischer Approximationen*, Rendiconti Circ. Mat. Palermo **50** (1926), 170-195.

[2] Y. Peres and W. Schlag, *Two Erdös problems on lacunary sequences: Chromatic number and Diophantine approximation*, preprint, available at: arXiv:0706.0223v1 [math.CO] 1Jun 2007.

[3] Moshchevitin N.G. A version of the proof for Peres-Schlag's theorem on lacunary sequences. // Preprint, available at arXiv: 0708.2087v2 [math.NT] 15Aug2007

[4] Moshchevitin N.G. Density modulo 1 of sublacunary sequences: application of Peres-Schlag's arguments. // Preprint, available at arXiv: 0709.3419v2 [math.NT] 20Oct2007

[5] J. W. S. Cassels, *An Introduction to Diophantine Approximation*, Cambridge Tracts no. 45, Cambridge University Press, London, 1957.